Attorneys for Del and Ernestine Bunch

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

E-Filed: March 13, 2007

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

In re:	Case No. BK-S-06-10725-LBR		
USA COMMERCIAL MORTGAGE COMPANY,	Case No. BK-S-06-10726-LBR		
Debtor.	Case No. BK-S-06-10727-LBR		
In re:	Case No. BK-S-06-10728-LBR		
USA CAPITAL REALTY ADVISORS, LLC,	Case No. BK-S-06-10729-LBR		
Debtor.			
In re:	Chapter 11		
USA CAPITAL DIVERSIFIED TRUST DEED FUND, LLC,			
Debtor.	Jointly Administered under		
In re:	Case No. BK-S-06-10725-LBR		
USA CAPITAL FIRST TRUST DEED FUND, LLC,			
Debtor.			
In re:	DECLARATION OF RICHARD F. HOLLEY,		
USA SECURITIES, LLC,	ESQ.		
Debtor.			
Affects:			
All Debtors	Date of Hearing: OST PENDING		
	Time of Hearing: OST PENDING		
USA Securities, LLC			
USA Capital Realty Advisors, LLC	Judge: Hon, Linda B. Riegle		
USA Capital Diversified Trust Deed Fund, LLC			
USA First Trust Deed Fund, LLC			
			

- I, Richard F. Holley, Esq., under oath and under penalty of perjury say:
- 1. I am an attorney duly licensed to practice law in the State of Nevada.
- 2. I am a shareholder with the law firm of Santoro, Driggs, Walch, Kearney, Johnson & Thompson (the "Santoro Firm"), attorneys for Del and Ernestine Bunch ("Creditors") in the above-captioned proceeding.
 - 3. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth herein.
 - 4. I am over the age of 18 and am mentally competent.

06725-01/153017

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

5.	I have pe	rsonal knowledge of the	facts in this matter	and if called upon to testify
could and	would do so.	With respect to matters	based upon informa	ation and belief, I believe the
statements	s made to be tr	ue and correct.		

- 6. I make this Declaration in Support of the Ex Parte Application For Order Shortening Time For Hearing On Motion to Compel (the "Ex Parte Application"), and in support of the Motion to Compel.
- 7. The Motion to Compel ("Motion") needs to be heard on shortened time, because the Debtor's responses to the Production of Documents and Second Request for Production of Documents ("Discovery Requests") are deficient.
- 8. On January 25, 2007, Bunch propounded upon Debtor a Request for Production of Documents.
- 9. On January 25, 2007, Bunch also propounded upon Debtor a Second Request for Production of Documents.
- 10. Debtor's counsel executed a Receipt of Copy on January 25, 2007, acknowledging receipt of both Discovery Requests. A true and correct of the Receipt of Copy is attached as Exhibit "1."
- 11. The time for Debtor to respond to the Discovery Requests expired February 26, 2007.
 - On March 2, 2008, Debtor produced written responses to the Discovery Requests. 12.
- 13. On March 5, 2007, I prepared and sent a letter to Debtor's counsel, Lenard Schwartzer ("Schwartzer"), advising him of the deficiencies in the Discovery Responses, and requesting a supplemental production on or before March 8, 2007. A true and correct of the letter dated March 5, 2007 is attached as Exhibit "2."
- 14. Based upon my conversations with Ms. Ogonna Atamoh of my office, it was my understanding that the Debtor would produce a disk containing all of Debtor's checks and bank statements by March 12, 2007.

27

28

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

15. On March 12, 2007, I contacted Schwartzer to confirm the status of the deliver
of the disk to our office, during which time Schwartzer advised that the disk was in the
possession of Debtor's Utah counsel, Elaine A. Monson, and that he had not received a respons
to his inquiries regarding the status of the disk and delivery of same.

- 16. On March 13, 2007, I again contacted Schwartzer inquiring into the status of the production of the disk. During this conversation, Schwartzer informed me that Attorney Monson still had not responded to his inquiries, that attorney Monson was out of the office until Thursday, March 15, 2007, and that he was unable to confirm when the disk would be produced to Bunch.
- 17. As of the date of this declaration, Debtor has not made a supplemental production in response to the March 5, 2007, letter outlining the deficiencies in Debtor's Discovery Responses.
- 18. Under the circumstances, it is necessary to have the Motion heard on shortened time to compel Debtor to supplement its Discovery Responses in a timely manner. Bunch believes that the information is important to his defense to the Debtor's claim objection and there is no justification for not producing the requested documents.

I declare under penalty of perjury of the laws of the United States that these facts are true to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Dated this /3 day of March, 2007.

RICHARD F. HOLLEY, E80.

EXHIBIT "1"

26

28

SANTORO, DRIGGS, WALCH, KEARNEY, JOHNSON & THOMPSON

06725-01/140781.doc

case 06-10725-gwz Doc 3065 Entered 03/13/07 16:04:41 Page 6 of 10

SANTORO, DRIGGS, WALCH, KEARNEY, JOHNSON & THOMPSON 400 SOUTH FOURTH STREET, THIRD FLOOR, LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101 (702) 791-0308 - FAX (702) 791-1912

First Request for Production of Documents; and 1.

Second Request for Production of Documents 2.

Lenard E. Schwartzer, Esq.

Schwartzer & McPherson Law Firm 2850 South Jones Blvd., #1 Las Vegas, Nevada 89146 Attorneys for Debtors

EXHIBIT "2"

John E. Leach Gregory J. Walch Nicholas J. Santoro Michael E. Kearney J. Douglas Driggs, Jr. Richard F. Holley David G. Johnson Ronald J. Thompson James E. Whitmire, III Daniel L. Schwartz Victoria L. Nelson Dean S. Bennett Kirby C. Gruchow, Jr. Andrew J. Glendon

Kevin L. Johnson Lee E. Davis Thomas G. Grace Sean L. Anderson James D. Boyle

SANTORO, DRIGGS, WALCH, KEARNEY, JOHNSON & THOMPSON

Attorneys
400 South Fourth Street, Third Floor
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
Tel (702) 791-0308
Fax (702) 791-1912

Oliver J. Pancheri Brian W. Boschee Bryce K. Earl Ogonna M. Atamoh Jennifer K. Craft James P. Jensen Ben West Michael F. Lynch Byron E. Thomas Stella B. Dorman Tracy A. Gallegos F. Thomas Edwards Jason D. Smith Cody T. Winterton Robert B. Kouchoukos Lisa T. Blackburn Rachel N. Solow Taylor L. Randolph

Of Counsel: Anthony A. Zmaila Charles L. Titus

Writer's email: rholley@nevadafirm.com

March 5, 2007

Via E-Mail

Lenard E. Schwartzer Schwartzer & McPherson Law Firm 2850 South Jones Boulevard, Suite 1 Las Vegas, Nevada 89146-5308

RE: USACM's Responses to Discovery Propounded by Del and Ernestine Bunch

Dear Lenard:

This letter is being sent pursuant to Rule 37(a)(2)(A) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure incorporated by reference in Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure Rules 9014 and 7037, and Local Rule 26-7(b).

I am in receipt of the Debtor's response to the first and second requests for production of documents propounded by Del and Ernestine Bunch. With respect to the production, many of the responses are deficient. The purpose of this letter is to identify those responses that are deficient and to determine if the Debtor intends to promptly cure the deficiency without the Bunches filing a motion to compel.

With respect to the First Request for Production of Documents, the following responses are deficient:

Request No. 3: Request No. 3 requests that the Debtor provide copies of all bank statements regarding Account No. 083-4610149 (Choice IV Commercial Checking Account) maintained by USA Commercial Mortgage Co. with Wells Fargo Bank. In response to this

Lenard E. Schwartzer March 5, 2007 Page 2

request, the Debtor unilaterally limited the production to the period between January 2005 and April 2006, without any explanation. The Bunches believe that all of the requested bank statements for the designated checking account are in the possession of the Debtor, or its representatives, and that there is no justification for not producing the requested documents.

Request No. 5: Request No. 5 requests copies of all bank statements regarding account No. 0895737864 (Collections Trust Account) maintained by USA Commercial Mortgage Co. with Wells Fargo Bank. In response to this request, the Debtor unilaterally limited the production to the period between January 2005 and April 2006 without any explanation. The Bunches believe that all of the requested bank statements for the designated checking account are in the possession of the Debtor, or its representatives, and that there is no justification for not producing the requested documents.

Request No. 6: Requests No. 6 requests copies of all bank statements regarding Account No. 0486-5657146 (Investors Trust Account) maintained by USA Commercial Mortgage Co. with Wells Fargo Bank. In response to this request, the Debtor unilaterally limited the production to the period between January 2005 and April 2006 without any explanation. The Bunches believe that all of the requested bank statements for the designated checking account are in the possession of the Debtor, or its representatives, and that there is no justification for not producing the requested documents.

Request No. 7: Request No. 7 requests copies of all bank statements regarding Account No. 562-7688020 (Discretionary) maintained by USA Commercial Mortgage Co. with Wells Fargo Bank. In response, the Debtor states that it does not have any record of this bank account and is therefore not aware of the existence of any such documents. The Bunches are informed and believe that this particular account was closed and transferred to Bank of America on or about April 13, 2006. Please advise whether this additional information is helpful in enabling the Debtor to respond to this request.

With respect to the Second Request for Production of Documents, the following responses are deficient:

Request No. 1: Request No. 1 requests copies of the 2005 tax return, including all schedules and/or attachments, filed for tax year 2005 on behalf of Investment Partners, including, but not limited to, any requests for extension or other communications with the Internal Revenue Service regarding the 2005 tax return. In response, the Debtor states that USACM's current management is not aware that it has in its possession the 2005 tax return for Investment Partners or any documents relating to requests for extensions or other communications with the Internal Revenue Service. The Bunches are informed and believe that USACM's current management is in fact in possession of all Investment Partners' books and records, including the requested 2005 tax return. Please detail the extent to which anyone with USACM's current management undertook an investigation to locate the requested documents. Please also advise when the Stipulated Protective Order Review will be completed.

Case 06-10725-gwz Doc 3065 Entered 03/13/07 16:04:41 Page 10 of 10

Lenard E. Schwartzer March 5, 2007 Page 3

Request No. 3: Request No. 3 requests copies of all bank statements within the Debtor's possession or control regarding Account No. 10303709 maintained by Investment Partners at Community Bank of Nevada. In response, the Debtor unilaterally limited its production to the period between January 2005 and April 2006 without any explanation. The Bunches believe that all of the requested bank statements for the designated checking account are in the possession of the Debtor, or its representatives, and that there is no justification for not producing the requested documents.

Unless the documents requested, including those unilaterally limited by the Debtor, are produced on or before the close of business on Thursday, March 8, 2007, the Bunches will have no alternative but to file a motion to compel production with the bankruptcy court and seek attorneys' fees and costs incurred in preparing the motion. Hopefully, this will not be necessary and the requested documents will be produced.

Sincerely yours,

SANTORO, DRIGGS, WALCH,

KEARNEY, JOHNSON & THOMPSON

Richard F. Holley, Esq.

RFH:sl

cc: Del & Ernestine Bunch